UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,755 07/20/2005 Taro Suzuki		Taro Suzuki	330-302	1935
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 10/16/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOEWE, ROBERT S		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/538,755	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ROBERT LOEWE	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication anne			ross				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.		in the final valuation whi	aha sayia latan da				
b) Light The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-4 and 10-15</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,7-9 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation sheet. 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796							
•							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11: Applicant's argue that the prior art rejection of claims 5 and 7-9 (Ishikubo et al. in view of Okamoto et al.) should be withdrawn, specifically for the reason that Okamoto et al. do not teach or suggest that the seed particles are measured for diameters continuously or at intervals of a contant period of time. The Examiner does not dispute this statement. Okamoto et al. was relied upon for the teaching that Coulter counters are useful tools which can be used to measure the size and and size distribution of particles. The limitation that particle diameters may be measured continuously or at periods of constant time intervals can be met with the knowledge readily known to a person having ordinary skill in the art. Specifically, as mentioned in the previous Office action, a person having ordinary skill in the art readily recognizes the benefits of monitoring specific reaction parameters continuously or at fixed intervals, in order to better understand reaction kinetics and to know when the reaction should be stopped or when to further add starting materials, etc. Further, when embarking on a new procedure of any kind, the most logical and obvious thing for a person having ordinary skill in the art would be to monitor the reaction as much as possible in order to gain as much information about the reaction as possible. Once this is done, any subsequent repeats of this reaction would not necessarily require such strict monitoring.

Applicant's amendments to instant claim 16 would overcome the 112, second paragraph made in the previous Office action.